

MINUTES OF MEETING
CAPITAL REGION COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Capital Region Community Development District was held Thursday, July 14, 2011 at 6:30 p.m. at the Southwood Community Center, 4675 Grove Park Drive, Tallahassee, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Barry Brooks	Chairman
Wayne Toothman	Vice Chairman
Eli Nortelus	Supervisor
David Ramba	Supervisor

Also present were:

James A. Perry	District Manager
Joe Brown	District Counsel
Robert Berlin	Operations Manager - GMS
Norman Robertson	Atkins
Abe Prado	St. Joe
Several Homeowners	

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call

Mr. Brooks called the meeting to order.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

The Pledge of Allegiance

Mr. Ramba led the pledge of allegiance.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of Consent Agenda

- A. Approval of the Minutes of the June 9, 2011 Meeting**
- B. Balance Sheet as of May 31, 2011 and Statement of Revenues & Expenditures for the Period Ending May 31, 2011**
- C. Treasury Report – May 31, 2011**
- D. Allocation of Assessments**
- E. Check Register**

On MOTION by Mr. Ramba seconded by Mr. Toothman with all in favor the consent agenda items were approved.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Conservation Areas

A. General Overview

B. Maps

Mr. Berlin stated we want to make you aware of two documents, where they come from and their importance to the district in general and the board specifically.

The first document we want to look at is the Habitat Management Plan that was created by Post Buckley now Atkins as a requirement of the Southwood DRI. Most of you know when you do a DRI a lot of agencies look at it and in this case it was the Florida Fish & Wildlife Conservation Commission that looked at that. That document is probably 60+ pages long and it defines what St. Joe or the district is going to manage this job from an environmental state, what they are going to do as far as mitigating any disturbance they make and keep a large section of Southwood basically the way it was for conservation for wildlife. The first thing you find in that mitigation plan is an overview of the site history; it tells what St. Joe plans to do with Southwood. The site history while not real long it basically starts with the early settlers, the Indians, progresses through the Spaniards it talks about the early Tallahassee residents that were out here, before St. Joe had it then when St. Joe had it right up until when they wanted to develop it.

It then breaks down into the areas that St. Joe is going to dedicate for conservation and that is what you see on the plan. There are 4 preserve areas and 2 mitigation areas. The first one is the preserve area in Central Park, the eastern preserve, western preserve and the North Park Preserve that is undeveloped. We have two mitigation areas, an on-site Shepherd Branch and an off-site, which is Oak Ridge. It is 166 acres for mitigation here and 271 in Oak Ridge. Those two mitigation areas were basically designed to house the infamous gopher tortoise so we can save them when we develop this area, this is one place where they are relocated and the other is Oak Ridge, which is off-site. Also these areas have wetlands in them and I'm not sure if there are any in the Oak Ridge area.

The next thing you find is the management responsibilities, what it is that you are trying to accomplish. Obviously in the mitigation area you are trying to preserve that for the gopher tortoise, fox squirrel and kestrel as the trimming and cutting would open up more territory for

them. Up in the preserve areas a lot of this was considered wetlands, some of this by the CDD, this is where they thought they might be and some of that wetland area is recognized by FDEP and the city recognizes just about all of it. These areas are a little bit different as far as their management goes, they are more inclined toward the wetland area not so much for the fox squirrel and kestrel but if you keep these areas trimmed along the very edges and stuff then theoretically the birds and squirrels can use them and you can get some sort of credit for that. You also have areas that are along the outer bounds of the golf course that is a good example of some of the things that we maintain for fox squirrel and kestrels.

The next thing is the procedures. Procedures are broken out as to what you do as far as mowing, tree snags for the birds, clearing what we can and can't do, additional planting to augment what is there or replace as old stock dies out. It talks about snags that are bird snags that is why you will see in the park sometimes a tree will be struck by lightning and die and it is left and is all part of the management plan for not only for the kestrel but also attracts woodpeckers and other fowl. The literature you are looking at talks about the signage, literature that is passed out to the homeowners and also goes to the builder. It emphasizes dumping which obviously you can't dump anything there and it talks about wildlife specific installation which basically are the kestrel boxes, the proper way to build one, the dimensions, how to hang it in a tree or on a free post and where it should be located as far as line of sight. That is broken down into site specific areas. It talks about the preserve areas and how you go about managing the preserve areas. There is a lot of repetition because the preserve areas are similar, they are wetland area and have about the same type of fauna and flora so there is repetition as you go through it but each one is called out.

The mitigation sites while they go through that and have wetlands procedure they have additional information. Obviously one of the things you can do while it is mentioned that burning can be used it is discouraged for safety and the thought that homeowners would be in here. It also talks about the golf course areas on that pond used as kestrels and fox squirrel habitat and how those are to be maintained. That is not unlike the way it is in Central Park.

It talks about the listed species and that's it. It talks about gopher tortoises, kestrels, and fox squirrels. It talks about the best way that they felt they could be managed and allowed to not only inhabit the area but maybe even propagate a little more.

Inside that plan there is a lot in the 60 page plan. There are also surveys but it is the basis of how we do things out here in the district and how we manage it.

Mr. Nortelus joined the meeting at this time.

Mr. Berlin stated the second document is a conservation easement. There are easements, not just one easement but the conservation easements will share a lot of the same do's and don'ts and they are changed for site specific. Basically the Shepherd Branch conservation easement which encumbers the whole of the 166 acres is not unlike the western preserve conservation easement in that area as far as maintenance goes but they are two different areas. While there are wetlands down in here this is mostly wetlands so there is more of an emphasis on that. There may be some more talk about preserving of the wetlands and less talk about burning, which would be in this easement. Each one has a scope in the beginning it calls out where it's at, is it for the golf course, is it for Shepherd Branch, is it for Oak Ridge, is it for Central Park and that is how that starts out. When you bring up one of those easements you will see what it encumbers and also in there will be the boundary survey for the easement for location purposes. It has a scope and the scope tells you this is what you are supposed to do and pretty much that scope lies through each of the conservation easements with very little change. The city might change small language but it always refers back to the management plan and the management plan will always be referred to in the conservation easements in large print so it sticks out and lets you know that you go back to this habitat management plan and that is what governs a lot of the stuff we do in there. There are restrictions it tells you what you can't build in there, it tells you that you can't dump, it tells you what you can and cannot do with vegetation which basically you can remove exotics and you can't touch anything else. It talks about excavation that will not exist. You can't dump in there nor can you excavate and move the dirt around. It is a wetland area and you don't excavate in the wetlands. If you are going to excavate in the wetlands for some sort of development obviously you have to go through permitting, that would be a dredge and fill permit and you have to submit a plan to the city and you will have to mitigate the damage you are going to cause. Basically we don't do any excavation in there. It addresses flood control because in these areas like the western and eastern, stormwater is conveyed through those so it talks about the quantities that can go in there, it considers the historical flows and how you can control that

and what you can and cannot dump as far as too much water. That is all laid out in the original stormwater master plan. It has all been calculated. It talks about historical, archaeological areas. The eastern preserve was one of the mission sites that the Spaniards built between St. Augustine and Pensacola. It is managed a little bit differently, it is not a wetland it is an archeological site and we have another one back down over in this area. It talks about impacts and basically that is about the builder himself or the developer, what type of impacts you can and cannot do to the wetlands and if you are going to make an impact how you mitigate for it. It always has the ability to amend to perform these ideas that you may have as far as management goes, it might be slightly different than what it says in the actual easement but you need to bring that and it has to be approved by the city.

You have two documents. You have the habitat management plan created as a requirement for the DRI and you have called out in that management plan the requirement to create easements to help protect these areas that are being managed. One is what I call policy and the other is procedure and that is what we follow in here.

In the front of the conservation easement there is a line item that says legal descriptions and locations. This is a St. Joe document and it may not be entirely up to date but this is a document that St. Joe has Noble generate for them and as they record easements as they record deeds they put it on here. St. Joe has been nice enough to let us have that for this presentation. If you look in the handout there is a spreadsheet and I want to make you aware of a couple of things. As these areas come up for development part of the requirement to develop in there is the granting of these easements. This is strictly for illustration and not to be meant that these are the only easements, deeds or documents that are out there and recorded. The first one is Central Park and it is covered with different things. I made a slight list but there are more but you have an O.R. book and page and that is how you find these things and you can look those up on the Leon County clerk of the court site. You can find most of these. Some haven't been entered for some reason but they have been recorded. It tells what kind of easement it is, it is a conservation easement, granted to the City of Tallahassee and I would say it is standard because most of the easements are alike other than where they are located and with a slight change or maybe some of the procedures like control burns and stuff. There are two on there, one is for the CDD, 2537 and 3982 is also for the CDD. One is for conveyance and the other is for ownership transfer. That is when St. Joe turned this over to the district. Conveyance also allows for the water to

travel over the top of this. Sometimes it will say it is a pass through where the city is allowed to put their stormwater from their streets into our stormwater ponds and allow it to pass through. You might notice the first one on the east preserve area and the west preserve area list the same two numbers and they are both conservation easements. The only reason I put those down is because it shows they were recorded at the same time. If you go there you will see both of them on that page. They are on two sides of the development. There are a bunch on the west side as you can see and there is one conservation easement for Shepherd Branch. I looked up a lot of these and some are not there but it allows me to make the statement that reading these easements they are all basically similar and it is the intent of the city to have basically the same type, same nomenclature and the same language in each of these.

Mr. Brooks stated before I take questions, talking about the transfer of Central Park 3982, was that the transfer that we recently did between St. Joe and us for the green space?

Mr. Berlin responded no, I should have said that. If you look at the first two, the one that says stand COT easement and below it is another one that says easement modification, that is my language and that is the one you recently did where it tied these two together. It is a modification to this top easement. It is recorded in another spot but it modified this easement so it came all the way out there. It was a scrivener's error.

Mr. Nortelus stated you mentioned that the water from the streets goes into our drainage.

Mr. Berlin stated it goes into stormwater pond that we are responsible for and in some cases it flows through. A lot of those are called pass through easements. If you read the documents in the D.O. it clearly states that we give the city the right to convey some of their stormwater because it falls on their property through our stormwater system back to wherever it goes.

Mr. Nortelus asked how far out does that stretch, to Capital Circle or is it internal?

Mr. Brown stated internal it is engineered and designed to accommodate.

Mr. Prado stated the only caveat to that is there is offsite water that flows through your storm system.

Mr. Brooks stated one of the key things I take away from this is we have two instruments that guide the district in how we manage our properties, our conservation easements and that is the habitat management plan and the conservation easement and you said one is more policy and one is more procedure.

Mr. Berlin stated that is probably my own thought but I would think that a conservation easement is pretty much policy and a management plan would be a little more procedure.

Mr. Toothman stated conservation easements are legal documents with legal requirements. It is not just a policy. The City of Tallahassee comes after us when we violate their easement. That needs to be clearly understood.

Mr. Berlin stated that was just my own words but you are right.

Mr. Toothman stated that is not just coming to us and we agree it is a good idea.

Mr. Brooks stated it has legal standing.

Mr. Toothman stated somebody else owns that right.

Mr. Brooks asked are we right now as a CDD in compliance with the conservation easement and our management plan that we have in place?

Mr. Berlin responded we are in violation in Lake Verdura.

Mr. Brooks asked what latitude do we have with the management plan?

Mr. Berlin responded a classic example would be that the black willow removal down along that section of the lake and the replacement of those with the pond cypress and the other lowland plants that are down there. It was a plan we submitted to the city and asked them if we could manage that particular area of the park in order to enhance it and they agreed to that. It became amendment 1 to the management plan. While it doesn't give you carte blanche to go in and cut every black willow down it allowed us to go in and manage the black willow with the understanding that if we want to take more out we submit a plan and show how we are replacing those trees.

Mr. Brown stated we have the flexibility to remove exotics, we have flexibility in Central Park there is a range of lengths we can keep the grass mowed for purposes of the squirrels and kestrels.

Mr. Brooks stated but the point if I understand it is a legal document the CDD is responsible for. Before we go and do anything different outside of our plan or change our plan such as taking down the black willows we have to go to the City of Tallahassee to get that authority. Is that correct?

Mr. Berlin stated I don't want to call it a disclaimer but every one of the conservation easements as well as the habitat management plan clearly states the things that you can do in there must be brought to the attention of growth management for their approval. We might let

you do something in there that might be slightly different from the plan but then you have to show us how this is going to enhance what our thought was to begin with, with the easement and you have to submit a plan to do that. The short answer is you can't do anything without going to the City of Tallahassee.

Mr. Brooks stated if you do then you could potentially be in violation or the CDD would be in violation.

Mr. Berlin stated because we are charged with the responsibility of managing that resource.

Mr. Toothman stated that is what happens, the city automatically sends us a letter of when we or somebody else does a violation. It is our fault because we are the owner of that dirt.

Mr. Brooks stated that clarifies it in my mind. Thank you for providing that. Are there any questions from the audience?

Mr. Reed stated I'm still not clear exactly what you are doing, you said you manage it but you don't tell us exactly what that means from that standpoint. Also who is responsible for the lakes and maintaining them and clearing those?

Mr. Brooks stated the management plan and conservation easement is available on our website.

Mr. Berlin stated I don't know if it is available on our website yet, it will be. In the meantime I will be happy to send an electronic copy of what I have to anyone who would want one and I have cards and I will pass them out and you can come see me and you can send me an email so I have your email address and I will send you an electronic copy of it. My office is small and I can't ream out 160 page copies of the habitat management plan but I will be happy to give you an electronic copy of it.

Mr. Brooks stated I think it is important that we all are on the same page and that is our issue to make sure it is communicated to all the residents so they all have a fair shot at looking at the plan and understanding it. I think one of the goals that I was looking for in this discussion was that all the supervisors are on the same page, listen to some of your input on the issue, find out what our limitations are as a CDD but also find a way to communicate this to the larger Southwood as a whole as to the do's and don'ts within conservation easements. If a part of that is what is our management plan for then we can include that in communication too, to share how we manage it, what our responsibility is. Will that help?

Mr. Reed stated when you look at the title of the survey, the strip you own between my property line and about 10 feet out and the other part it says it is the city's. The question I'm trying to find out is the CDD responsible for maintaining all of that, all the way from the property line down to the water's edge?

Mr. Toothman stated if it is a bona fide conservation area like a resource that has protection of wetlands, flood plains and those kinds of things there is a defined line and basically the city says this is ours and nobody can do anything within that area. It sounds to me what we have is a line where the city takes all legal rights or development or any activity away from St. Joe and the CDD and then they gave us a little strip adjacent to it to where we can do maintenance, mowing and other kinds of things and then they gave you your land. That is kind of where I'm understanding is that the city owns the rights to that land basically we can't do anything in there without the city giving us permission to do it.

Mr. Reed asked that is what is in the management plan, right?

Mr. Toothman stated the management plan is very broadly written. This was all conceptual now as they plan it out they start getting real defined on what you can and can't do in specific areas.

Mr. Reed asked who has responsibility for the lake? Does that fall under the management plan?

Mr. Brown stated if it is within the conservation easement the district owns it, it is the district's responsibility to maintain it. If it is a district pond the district is maintaining it.

Mr. Reed asked my next question is what do we do about the lily pads?

Mr. Brooks stated I know we have that in a quite a few of our lakes now and I asked Mr. Berlin based on Mr. Reed's question to do a little research and let you know what our abilities are.

Mr. Berlin stated so there is no confusion you asked about Mission Lake, Mission Lake is not covered by a conservation easement nor is it owned by the district at this time. We do have maintenance that goes alongside around the golf course and through the property and yours back in through that area there up to a point. Those are under an easement that was filed for the golf course. The lily pads that are growing there you have three types in that lake, I provided samples to the county agent and they are all native. In order to do any kind of lily control in there any kind of vegetation control in there we will need to develop a plan and take it to the city for

approval. In your case we would have to go to the lake owner for approval to exercise that plan if it was approved. I can tell you that under the recommendation of the county agent that we do not touch the lilies at this time and under the city's representative who I would present the plan to I was told that they would not allow any type of herbicides to go in the lake at this time. That being the question because their concern is that no matter what we put in there, the dissolved oxygen levels are so low that it will harm the fish that are in the pond. The other thing is that both entities including the University of Florida agree that the native species in the pond could cover anywhere from 50% to 65% and be beneficial to what they are trying to achieve unless you want to water ski in the lake and that is not what the intent is. The city does not foresee using that lake to water ski. There is still quite a ways to go before they would reach what they consider an optimum level of lily pads in that lake.

Mr. Brooks stated I understand the answer you were looking for was that we could go in and do something with the lily pads and that goes for anybody's issues with what goes on in our conservation areas because it ranges. Somebody's interests may be just leave it alone and don't touch it and somebody's interests may be let's clear it out so we have a nice aesthetic view or any range in between. The CDD has the lands but our hands are tied or guided as Robert pointed out by these plans and by these easements. We are willing to do whatever it is that we can legally do that is acceptable to the majority of the neighbors or what the board approves. We are not trying to be adversarial with it but we are trying to communicate what kind of responsibility or what type of things we can do in those conservation areas. I'm hoping this is some initial dialog and also Robert volunteered to meet with anybody to talk about any specific concerns they have as well. We will work with you the best we can but we can't put ourselves in a position where we face some sort of fine or something like that.

Mr. Ellis asked are you allowed to take boats out on the lake?

Mr. Brooks stated you can take non-motorized boats.

Mr. Ellis asked you are allowed to have an electric engine too, right?

Mr. Brooks responded no.

Mr. Ellis asked say I had a rowboat and rowed through the lily pads and a couple lily pads get ripped up, what happens then?

Mr. Brooks stated nothing.

Mr. Ellis stated if I row everyday and it breaks up all the lilies there is no problem because I was just rowing my boat.

Mr. Brooks stated I don't think the board has an issue with that but it is all in the eye of the enforcer and in this case it is the City of Tallahassee. You are asking that question of the wrong people, your question should be posed to the City of Tallahassee who has the enforcement responsibility, and we are the people who pay the fine. You are the people who pay the fine if the city determines that it is in violation so tear up all the lily pads you want but if the city comes out and says there is a problem with doing that and they give us a bill we are going to go look for the person who caused the damage.

Mr. Oliver stated I have a monstrous pine tree behind my house and my neighbor's house and it is probably 75 feet tall. If that should fall over whose domain is that? Is that Tallahassee's property? Is it community property? The Preserve or is that St. Joe's property?

Mr. Brooks responded it is a common issue, a common question and again we are going to try to improve our communications to get these common questions answered. Let me ask our attorney to respond to that question.

Mr. Brown stated I don't know what property you are talking about.

Mr. Berlin stated if he is on Shady View he is on the wetlands.

Mr. Brooks stated if we have individual questions such as yours can you get with Robert after the meeting and ask him so he can make sure he knows where your property is and knows whether it is City of Tallahassee property or CDD property.

Mr. Berlin stated just for the record I have been out there twice now and visited with homeowners who have the exact same question that you do. I visited their property and they pointed out the trees.

Mr. Brooks stated we need to know and if we can help you we will.

Mr. Allen stated as some of the supervisors know a couple years ago Mr. Ziffer was on the commission and he asked a number of citizens to take a look at the habitat conservation, habitat management plan and some of these other issues and some of us did at that time. I haven't read it since then but the question about the habitat management plan I would like to make a couple of observations if I could based on my memory of having read it a year and a half or so ago. That management plan was created 10 or 12 years ago and if I remember the circumstances under which that plan was created someone from the Florida Fish & Wildlife

Conservation Commission someone from the City of Tallahassee and a couple of representatives from St. Joe went out on one or several days and kind of looked at these areas and based upon their observations in those days the habitat management plan was kind of created and written. Am I correct in how the plan came to be?

Mr. Prado responded it was based on the natural components from that site.

Mr. Allen stated it was a couple of days worth of field work on those properties by a couple of individuals.

Mr. Prado responded I don't know how many days.

Mr. Allen stated that is what I was told. I want the supervisors to understand that by no means is it an exhaustive or comprehensive plan for the habitat management within Southwood. These two species that were highlighted, the fox squirrel, we still have them in the neighborhood, they are seen frequently here but the science behind the managed property for the fox squirrels is still fairly limited, there are not a whole lot of research in my research on how to do this especially in an urban environmental suburban environment like this. The kestrel one of the featured birds there I am a birdwatcher and I have looked for the kestrel for years and I have never seen one out here and I really pass through. Again, the plan was based upon in my observation of reading some specific or fairly arbitrary studies and research that was done at the time the plan was written. A lot of the things that are in the plan right now were done in the best thought of what to do to protect those two species. If you look at the plan closely there is a whole series of recommendations that are in the plan, some of which I think we are in compliance with and others it would take someone to really read and question how we work. One of the features in that habitat management plan that is required to be in place is wildlife crossings so the habitat can move from one area to the next and the reason we have fox squirrels being killed on the roads is because that is the only way you can get across from one habitat zone to another one across the road, they take their chances just like everyone else. It has never been an issue of enough concern in the neighborhood to want to try to go back and say are we 100% absolutely totally within compliance with the document? I think the general feeling at the time was we are good enough. We are aware of the issue, we are trying to do the best we can under the circumstances and it looks like what we are doing is having some success. Within that I know there have been a lot of efforts made by some of the neighbors to try to think about other ways we can enhance that plan without violating the plan. There has been some concern that the

mowing cycle while in favor of the fox squirrel doesn't favor wildflowers. If you want to propagate for the most wildflowers and the best habitat for all of wildlife that uses Southwood then you have to look at changes in the mowing schedule, different ranges and the situation I have experienced has been a really good one. In talking with Mr. Berlin he has been very responsive, he said tell me what your issues are and I will go out there and work with you. Folks at All Pro have taken me and a number of other neighbors on a tour of the neighborhood and showed us the many types of landscapes they have to maintain and I have to tell you if any of the supervisors haven't been on one of their tours you should go. It is very insightful, I had no idea of the challenge of how much land there is in this neighborhood that the CDD in one form or another is responsible for all the way from extreme park settings like around the tot lot to wilderness preserve areas within the neighborhood. So far I'm pretty satisfied with the way we are going about this. I did want to point out that the habitat plan would have to be considered by even a good reading not to be a comprehensive plan for the management of habitat in the neighborhood just for those two species and even then it is a little dicey about whether it is really a good plan or not. When you couple that with the easements the fact that the easements all look alike and act alike to me is maybe not a great thing. I'm not an expert in easements, I have worked in land easements with other conservation organizations but what makes a good easement work is a good easement is tailored for the particular land it is on so it can be sensitive to the particular land its on. Many of the lands in the neighborhood are similar so a similar easement can probably govern it all but one of the things I don't think we have on those easements is any kind of baseline data. If the city is going to help you monitor those easements over time then in all my years of experience you have to be able to go back to the time of the easement was recorded and filed and say what was the circumstances at the time that easement was filed and how has it changed. Without that baseline there is really no way to know if we are in compliance or not in compliance with the terms of the easements unless it is read very casually. Again, I hope this never becomes a legal issue in the neighborhood because these easements can be very complicated, they can be difficult to enforce, they can be difficult to interpret and read but I hope we would continue to try to do an even more thorough and thoughtful job of how we manage all the lands entrusted to the district and at some point it may be worth bringing in some other trained, professional people to give us some feedback on how things have changed over the last 10 to 15 years. Are we doing a good job? Are there more fox

squirrels in the neighborhood than there were 10 years ago? Do we even know what they were 10 years ago? Are there other creatures in the neighborhood we ought to be paying attention to and being more sensitive to? Just a few quick observations on the whole habitat management plan and this is very much of an art. I don't know of anybody who says that it is a well practiced science of how to manage habitat in an urban or suburban setting like this and do it the best. So far I feel good about it and my compliments to the people who work with us all on it, Mr. Berlin and the supervisors I really appreciate you taking an interest in this.

Mr. Brooks stated thank you for sharing your expertise with us and to follow-up on the satisfaction of how we manage it out here I will ask this question. Has the district ever been in formal violation of any of the conservation easements or the management plan?

Mr. Berlin responded not that I know of until the Verdura incident.

Mr. Brooks asked do you know of anything Abe?

Mr. Prado responded no.

Mr. Brooks stated for the 12 years or so there has been no challenge towards our plan from the people who enforce it.

Mr. Allen stated that would be sensible because if you are really monitoring the easement most easements have a requirement that you have to visit at least once a year, you have to make a site inspection once a year and I doubt that the city does that. I think the only time they come out and look is when we ask them and say we have an issue can we do this can you come out and look and they come out. This is not the city's core business. The city does not spend a lot of time nor does it have great expertise on how to manage lands through these easements. They are there more as a protection and stop things from happening than as a guide.

Mr. Brooks stated if we have any expertise and you are willing to help that would be helpful.

Mr. Allen stated-I am not an expert but the citizen's group has been working on this for a while and it went dormant for a while but there is always a need to keep looking at this stuff and thinking about it.

Mr. Toothman stated I don't know if you know this or not but last year we had PBS&J which is now Atkins go out there and look at the Shepherd Branch and they produced a report.

Mr. Allen stated no I didn't know that.

Mr. Toothman stated I read the report and it seemed to me like everything is progressing as they thought it would. As far as Shepherd Branch they are looking at that. I believe we do have the ability to amend that if needed to achieve the goals of the management plan but they are looking at it.

Mr. Allen asked is there a plan to do that with the other areas within the neighborhood on a regular basis?

Mr. Toothman stated I would like to see that myself but I don't think there is a plan yet.

Mr. Brooks stated we don't have anything formal but I think the board would be willing to entertain whatever a supervisor brings to us and if this is something worthwhile maybe that is something Wayne would like to offer for the board to consider some kind of formal process.

Mr. Berlin stated I would like to make one other statement and Mr. Allen and I have worked together quite a bit. I'm assuming you have a full copy of the management plan, go back and read it. There are 2 ½ pages of references that Post Buckley cited on how they developed the plan and I'm not trying to pat Post Buckley on the back but I spent a year on this site prior to any development and not everyday but a number of days probably 250 of them at least was spent trudging through the woods locating gopher tortoise holes with Post Buckley representatives with the Corps of Engineer's representatives with DEP representatives, all of this was in preparation to put the plan together and use it so the DRI could be accepted. There were a lot of things going on and a lot of management out there, the Shepherd Branch one is very complicated and St. Joe has been managing a lot of that with their timber personnel and with Atkins personnel to handle that. We don't do a whole lot down there. We fund what they do because it is a delicate process both there and at Oak Ridge. Just so the record is clear we reported the violation to the city in hopes of not letting it get out of control. The violation was filed by the stormwater inspector who went by the site and saw the disturbance. I don't want to say they are not out here everyday looking at the stuff, the stormwater inspector has a lot to do but she was the one that saw that while she was inspecting other stormwater facilities for us, which we go through several a month, it will be in my report later on some we just did. I'm not saying they come out here every 15 minutes but it is a misnomer to think that they just have forgotten about Southwood. They are running on a skeleton crew but they do come out here.

Mr. Brooks stated thank you and the issue for everybody is we did have an encroachment on all of our easements which has actually helped make me realize that we need to surface this kind of discussion to make sure we can prevent in the future and maybe communicate better.

Mr. Wise stated the north and east side of Lake Verdura it is my contention that the golf course and my house and the other 11 houses on that lake probably fertilizers made growth there to be excessive because we have probably 25 feet of thicket and 30 feet of overgrowth. I would like to present these pictures that were taken in 2005 and what the lake looked like then and you know what it looks like now and I would like to request that we try to maintain about the way it was when we bought the houses. I have the conservation easement and management plan for September 2002 and I guess that is the same one. What that says is it is a management plan, I don't think to leave it absolutely untouched is managing it. This plan says that you need to clear the thicket, the base of the plants and anything that would keep aquatic life getting to shore. I don't think we have done anything to manage that system. That's my question.

Mr. Brooks stated we appreciate that and the district wants to do whatever we are required to do. If that is what we need to do then we want to manage it that way. Somebody needs to let us know. Robert is our property manager here and he communicates with the City of Tallahassee folks who give us the authority to do whatever we do in the district. If that is the case then we need to get costs on it and figure out what our responsibility is.

Mr. Nortelus asked what Mr. Wise said, is that correct or are we not sure?

Mr. Brooks stated I can't say yes or no right now I have trust in our experts I think they need to go back and look at what he provided.

Mr. Toothman asked what about Lake Doctors? Is this a lake that they look at?

Mr. Berlin responded no they do not. They look at stormwater ponds.

Mr. Toothman asked could we incorporate them into looking at this lake?

Mr. Berlin stated we use them to do the stormwater ponds.

Mr. Toothman stated on the east coast they do a lot of managing of bona fide lakes.

Mr. Berlin stated they do a lot of lakes and I confer with them when I have problems out here like algae blooms and such. We had a tremendous one in 130 we were kind of caught between a rock and a hard place of what to do there so we had to go with a chemical to try to knock it back.

Mr. Toothman asked do you have a good understanding of what they want to do out there as far as open water and lily pads and all that?

Mr. Berlin stated I understand fully what they want to do and we are talking about the conservation easement and the conservation easement is quite clear about having it remain the way it was before there was any houses. That doesn't mean that we can't do that, that you can't go in and mow that down. We tried to bring the Gyro-Trac in every three years to mow that back. There are tallows in there, we meet with homeowners that walk through there that don't necessarily live on the lake that point that out to us and we address that. We have cut the tallows back tremendously there is still a lot out there, there was a lot more a few years ago. Basically if you talk to the city if they could have their druthers they wished it looked the way it did across the lake, woodsy because that is the way it was before the cows and houses were there.

Mr. Brooks asked I guess the city has its druthers but is that the enforcement?

Mr. Berlin stated we see they can enforce that because of the fact that we got the first violation in 12 years as kind of more of a notice that, hey we kind of let you guys come down here and encroach in this area back here, not all the homeowners have and we have kind of looked the other way but once you started excavating and once you started planting sod in there which is clearly in non-compliance with the conservation easement then we are going to put our foot down. That is the bottom line. For years we have had some homeowners that say, hey they are getting down in there and everything and the city said as long as they are not cutting trees down, as long as they are not doing this and that. But it reached a head when it got to that point.

Mr. Brooks asked is there any way that we can have particularly on this issue try to get it resolved and use it as the sample issue maybe to help us in any of our other cases that present the same? Some kind of little sub-group like Dale was talking about that we can actually have the city people and I know they don't work for us but have them participate in the discussions so that way it is not an issue of our druthers but it is an issue of that is legitimate it is not going to violate the plan. That way we can budget and plan to maintain that conservation area consistent with what the city would allow. Would you as homeowners be willing to participate in something like that if we can pull that together? After tonight's meeting Robert, will you get their names?

Mr. Berlin stated I will pass out the cards and anybody can send me anything they want. That is how the black willow project got accepted. The homeowners didn't go out there and saw

the black willows down and say this is the way the lake looked when I bought my house. They came to us and asked the district if we would help and we did and we resolved their problem.

Mr. Brooks stated let's get into that position and that posture again and I will be glad to participate if that will help so when you set up the meeting with the homeowners maybe we can pencil down what we all would like to see and agree to ourselves then invite the city folks over and then see if we can reach some sort of agreement and then go from there.

Mr. Berlin stated that is fine with me, that's what I'm here for.

Ms. Bibler stated I'm curious about the wildlife corridor because I have wondered about that myself. I think we have in our waterways we have a corridor just with our culverts and the otters come all the way through the whole system. I also note in my walks the biggest place I have seen fox squirrels is in the center of the round about at Four Oaks and Blair Stone. It is probably directed at Abe because it is a St. Joe question, from St. Joe's standpoint is there a wildlife corridor and if there is not then why not and if there isn't one is there any way as we get more and more people here using that corridor with vehicles is there any way to create an aerial corridor?

Mr. Prado responded let me address one quick thing before I forget. The gentleman who is going to go rowing please don't do it in Mission Lake because that is private property and you will have the cops following you. Feel free to do it in Verdura as much as you want but Mission Lake is private property. Going back to the wildlife crossing the management plan I think called for four locations for them. I don't remember the exact area but I think three of those areas now have roads built over them. I'm not sure about the round about in particular. They were not put in and I don't know why other than oops by everybody, your design engineers, us as the owner and the city as the permitter. Can we put something retroactively? You can probably bore something under the road but I would have to look at it closer and see what options there are for something like that. An aerial crossing I never thought about it so I don't know that I'm prepared to tell you the feasibility of it. I would think you could probably do something. I know they do it in Costa Rica for monkeys so I don't know that a squirrel would be any different. It is certainly something that could be looked at as an alternative to putting a bore in and it might be more practical to have an aerial crossing.

Ms. Bibler stated I have seen several fox squirrels dead in the round about and for whatever reason they seem to like that round about area.

Mr. Brooks stated if you would like to help us look at that we will be glad to take a look at that.

Mr. Toothman asked is that an issue that we could relocate the fox squirrels?

Mr. Prado stated I'm not sure how you relocate a fox squirrel. I really think an aerial crossing might be fairly viable.

Mr. Berlin stated on the same vein that Mr. Allen brought up the somewhat dated management plan in this particular point I would agree with him. In 1999 they talked about the tunnels going across the road as maybe a way to move the wildlife from one side to the other. One of those is at Terrebone and Grove Park and if we could do it I'm sure we probably would except how do you make the squirrel cross right there. This is the thing that is brought up on FDOT crossings for wildlife, confirmed kills in the area where you want the crossing and when you talk about relocation I think that the district's money would be better spent on education and possibly signage. Most people will tell you that relocating wildlife is not the best way to protect them because you just upset the area that you move them to especially if you move them to an area that is already populated. That is why alligators are not relocated.

Mr. Brooks stated we want to take the approach of how can we help as a CDD that is what we are here for. From a funding standpoint we are also stewards of your money so we will be looking at it closely from that aspect as well.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Questions and Comments on Proposed Budget for Fiscal Year 2012

Mr. Brooks stated I think our hearing is next month. If you have any comments or if you like send information to Mr. Perry. I will raise one issue I have asked them to look at. I don't think we have ever done as a district and I would like to put together an annual report at the end of the fiscal year to share with all the homeowners in some sort of mail-out financial condition of the district and then communicate any of the major issues that we have dealt with this year.

Mr. Ramba asked do we have anything in the budget to pay for that?

Mr. Brooks responded yes we have some funds that Mr. Perry thinks we will be able to identify to support that.

Mr. Perry stated we can reallocate some funds.

Mr. Ramba stated we can do a budget update, a CDD update and also educate people on the conservation easement in one document.

Mr. Brooks stated that is my idea, to try to pull something together like that.

Mr. Nortelus stated there may be issues of these going to the homeowners but not the renters. Is there a way to reach out to those?

Mr. Brooks stated that is a good question and we will explore that. Robert had pulled a copy of this that we all got as homeowners.

Mr. Berlin stated the HOA has them. You get them through the Art of Living but they are over at that office.

Mr. Brooks stated it is a nice document that St. Joe put together talking about the wildlife and what you might encounter. That is a good document.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of License Agreement for Community Garden

Mr. Brooks stated the next item is consideration of the license agreement for the community garden.

On MOTION by Mr. Toothman seconded by Mr. Ramba with all in favor the license agreement for the community garden was approved.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

A. Attorney - Memorandum

There not being any, the next item followed.

B. Engineer – NOPC Update

1. NOPC Update

Mr. Robertson stated just a quick update on the NOPC it is about the same place it was last month with the exception that Leon County has signed a letter and sent it on to St. Joe.

Mr. Prado stated the city has asked us to write a letter to them saying I agree to execute the agreement.

Mr. Toothman asked do you have a date for your appearance?

Mr. Prado responded no because the city was waiting on me to do the letter. I would expect it to be September.

2. Unbuilt Trails Discussion

Mr. Robertson stated I was asked to take a look at the trails and the costs to construct and what has and hasn't been constructed. I passed out to all the board members a map that has a general idea of what has been constructed and what hasn't and it is pretty close and Robert has gone out and verified it as well. I also passed out with the map two sheets that give the costs and I want to caveat those costs, they are based on building the trails as was originally envisioned with a hard surface, concrete or asphalt, one is for concrete and one is for asphalt and they are 8 and 10 feet wide. The reason the costs are coming out as high as they are is these are the designed, permitted and constructed alone separate from a larger project or a subdivision within the development. They are for planning purposes, there is a contingency in here about 20% on those numbers just because it is a planning document.

Mr. Brooks stated we had asked him to look at this given the fact that we had identified some funds that we were able to use toward the end of the year to get some much needed work done. Wayne appropriately brought up the fact that in the past we have looked at some of these unfinished trails and was just inquiring what kind of expense we would be looking at for this. That is why Norm has brought this to us.

Mr. Toothman stated Mossy Creek Trail is designed to go back to Biltmore.

Mr. Robertson stated I called it Unit 26 trail because it runs right up on the north side of Unit 26 and that portion ties into the sidewalks there and turns around and goes into Units 31 and 32. Another piece of information Units 31 and 32 already have a design for the trails in there so the design and permitting fee is probably going to drop significantly on that but those trails were actually designed to be mulch at the time I think there was some concern that if we used a hard surface as originally planned that there would be additional costs and the city would require any tree roots that were impacted to take debits and new trees would have to be planted and they said if you use mulch you would be able to get away from that requirement. The thing about the mulch is that you can't just throw the mulch down there still has to be some clearing you are still going to have to go in there and probably compact the soils a little bit and find some way to contain it. In just rough preliminary estimates you are still going to be in the range of the asphalt numbers.

Mr. Ramba asked on Unit 26 there is another trail that comes down and dead ends right before you get to the green on hole no. 3.

Mr. Prado stated that is a park.

Mr. Ramba stated you are not attaching that to the park you are attaching that to Biltmore.

Mr. Berlin stated that would go in that wooded area that is opposite the green and come down parallel to those vacant lots where they are just starting construction.

Mr. Ramba stated okay I thought the attachment would have been from there to the park.

Mr. Robertson stated that is not to say that it can't be done. Obviously we would have to look into the feasibility, this comes out of the PUD. This is a 10,000 foot estimate so to give you a rough idea a ballpark number of what you are going to be looking at we wanted to explore any of these little cells to break them up into feasible areas and we would be happy to come in and take a closer look at what it would take to do one if it is something the district wishes to pursue we can do that but at this point it is 10,000 feet.

Mr. Toothman stated Unit 26 is already platted and under construction and homes are built. Why do we still think we can build a trail through that section?

Mr. Robertson stated he is asking why the trail wasn't designed and constructed along with Unit 26.

Mr. Prado stated it just wasn't I don't know if there is a better answer.

Mr. Robertson stated it is with the crossing of the round about.

Mr. Brooks asked that is the point if the bonds that were floated out there in order to build the infrastructure for that unit that houses are going up on now wouldn't this money have been contemplated to complete that trail in that bond issue?

Mr. Robertson stated we would have to take a look at the specific bond and if it included the trails in there. The bonds didn't necessarily say Unit 26. I believe that may have been under a bond where it was specified by LSF and LDR designations for your subdivision. I believe the trails are a separate item.

Mr. Prado stated they are.

Mr. Robertson stated we have to go back and take a look and see if that was originally planned within those bonds but it also may have been the BAN, the bond anticipation notes, to be used for anything within the development. I can go back and take a look and see what that one specifically says.

Mr. Brooks asked the bond funds associated with that they are spent?

Mr. Brown stated we have a little bit.

Mr. Perry stated there is about \$76,000.

Mr. Brown stated it is in the 2002's.

Mr. Robertson stated we looked at this a while back when this was first brought to the board. There is a 25 foot common area for the CDD running parallel to that northern property line so we actually don't own that line, that is the out parcel. When you get there I think you will want to do what Mr. Ramba suggested because it is very steep and it is very heavily wooded. You would be taking out substantial trees to build this trail which we found and left it alone. I think you might be better off building the main trail system through the neighborhood, a smaller trail that circles through the woods if you wanted something through there.

Mr. Toothman asked can we look at what's already there from the bridge to Unit 26 to figure out a way to connect it as Mr. Ramba is talking about?

Mr. Ramba stated you have a sidewalk with the park. If somebody is going to walk through there that is what they are doing now they are cutting across to get to the park and nobody knows if they can or can't go there. It makes sense to connect it.

Mr. Brooks asked can we pursue that since we have about \$76,000 and that is a legitimate expense? Can we cost it out?

Mr. Robertson stated I can take a closer look and come back. I can bounce a few ideas off you and on what is feasible and I'm not sure at this point if those lands have been transferred over to the district.

Mr. Prado stated not the golf course.

Mr. Brooks stated we may have some funds to help complete the Unit 26 trail so we will ask staff to develop that and bring it back to the board for consideration at the next meeting.

C. Property Management Report

1. All Pro Reports

Mr. Berlin stated you have All Pro's report.

2. Operations Memorandum

Mr. Berlin stated you have my report. WD 160 was inspected and passed, I'm not sure if that has been mailed to me. I have another one that is not identified which may be 162 but I

think that has been held up and 090 has been paid. There will be nothing to do down there the district won't incur any costs down there other than keeping the kids that are partying out of there. That lake is in excellent shape.

Sidewalk repairs they finished that along Biltmore and added a few more in there.

On the 30th we had a storm that hit this area pretty good. I saw Mr. Brooks out there inspecting the damage first hand but we had quite a mess and had some tree damage in Central Park and adjacent neighborhoods. It hit real hard right in this section. The rest of Southwood for the most part was unscathed.

I want to take a moment to thank All Pro's crew that stayed and not at my request because by the time I asked about it they were already in the field and we worked until about 7:30 p.m. but everybody stayed nobody left and finally I said enough we have the roads clear and we worked all day Saturday to get it ready for the 4th of July, that falls back to the next event and they did an excellent job and it is an expensive bill but we budgeted just for this, a storm event and we had to bring in a specialized crew to get up in the trees once we could see some of the dead material. We closed off areas of the park especially where people were walking and tried to get them to walk around. You never know when something is going to fall out of there. We had a crew come in and pick all that stuff out of the trees. I think we are pretty much caught up. We had a request from one homeowner to leave one of the trees that was smashed over standing up so it is still standing there.

I haven't had any new requests for events in the park yet and the 4th of July as far as I know I had no one come to me with anything. We had one small irrigation damage that was taken care of and the bill sent over to AOL. It seemed to go fairly smooth.

Newberry Park median the bench and garbage can are in place we are just waiting until it gets a little cooler to add a couple of trees.

I listened intently to Atkins proposal and the extreme cost and I would like to go back and once again bring up the fact that I would like out of the operation and maintenance budget I'm going to ask for the moon for \$16,700 to spend on various grates but I will entertain offers for less then I can pick and choose. Most of the average cost is about \$2,000 a grate and I have 7 of them out there. It is not a frivolous thing. All Pro does a very good job but your district engineer will tell you that was their original design and I agree with it 100% and one of those is a repair that we have to do, it has nothing to do with the grate it is over here at the school and has

been damaged and we need to do that and it is about \$1,500. But it is going to be tough to bring a guy out for that, I would like to do some of the other ones it will take some time to get these things fabricated and put in place. Norm will make sure he gets the drawings just to look at them but they are identical to the other grates that we put in. We used "Bibler's Pond" if I might call it that as an example that thing flows very well and gets a tremendous amount of water through it. We used to have to pull those grates in the old days. The same thing at the south end of Central Park Lake and it works real well, we keep the vegetation down around it. We clean them on a Friday, it rains Friday night and Saturday they are full. If we are lucky enough to bring a crew out which normally we don't do without extra billing to the district you have the chance of that going overload.

Mr. Brooks stated we wanted to put it on hold in case we wanted to do something with the trails in lieu of and I think we found a way to address the trails.

On MOTION by Mr. Ramba seconded by Mr. Nortelus with all in favor the staff was authorized to use the \$16,700 for the stormwater grates.

Mr. Nortelus stated I wanted to thank All Pro. I was out of town but all the residents of Grove Park and Riverton Trail went down and had nothing but good things to say about your crew.

Mr. Brooks stated I saw Robin out there too working a chainsaw. Thank you for the quick response. That is one of the benefits of living in this type of neighborhood, we pay for it but we weren't waiting for a city crew that was tied up elsewhere we had our people right on the ground so they could get to it.

Mr. Toothman asked does that put our expenses at zero now?

Mr. Perry responded-not yet.

3. Variance Report

The variance report was enclosed in the agenda package.

D. Manager

Mr. Perry stated just a couple of items associated with the storm event. We had two claims from our residents, one with a car that was damaged from a falling limb and debris from trees that were on district property. The other one was a fence that was damaged by a tree or limbs that fell on his fence. We processed those and turned them over to our claims adjuster I don't know if they will be compensated for them or not. In a lot of cases they are not because unless we knew there was an issue with those trees they take it as an act of nature and they are not reimbursing those.

The other thing was in regard to the Florida Association of Special Districts the conference that was held. Just an observation with that group I do think it is beneficial for new supervisors or supervisors who don't have a lot of governmental experience it gives them a good exposure to the way special districts operate. I think it is good group for that issue. I also think it is a very good group to belong to because of they have been established a number of years, they are really concentrating right now on community development districts and it does give us a larger voice in lobbying on issues before the legislature that might impact special districts especially CDDs. I think for the annual fee it is a very beneficial organization.

The other thing I took away from it they had a number of different presentations and there was a group that has been recently formed and I met with them once and I will probably bring something to the board for them to consider. This group provides services in case there is a storm event and they coordinate reimbursement with FEMA so they contract with special districts like a community development district, cities, counties, etc. and they are former FEMA officers in the State of Florida so they know the process. There is no charge for the district because their fees are covered in the reimbursement process with FEMA. I have heard worse stories and I have been involved peripherally with FEMA on some items and unless you deal with them on a daily basis to work that maze and get reimbursement quickly it takes quite a bit of effort. We might be bringing that back to the board for them to consider entering into a contract with this group. I think it would be very beneficial in the future. They also offered independency if there is an event before we do contract with them, they would like to work with us.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Other Business

There not being any, the next item followed.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**Supervisor's Requests**

Mr. Toothman stated at the Florida Association of Special Districts I think it is a good organization and they do have members only links within their web page we should have access to that. I'm going to give Jim the links instead of making it public record. The keynote speaker was a Representative Workman who has done a lot of work for the management stuff that directly affects us. Terry Lewis provided legislative updates at the conference and Mr. Ramba. I thought it was a pretty good two-day conference and I don't know instead of budgeting for it or whatever if we have extra to send us I don't know the best way of doing that but I think it would be good to have a program where they certify the district official after so many hours of training you have a certification. I think it is a good organization and program and one of the best things I got out of it was the insurance man that sells our insurance told me off to the side that Mr. Perry and his group does the best, they are the best in the state and not to worry. I really liked hearing that we had a good crew working for us and that is the only place you are going to hear it and you have to see the differences. There were CDD members complaining about their people wouldn't do anything for them and it was amazing. I told them we have no problems. I appreciate your work. The FEMA guy was saying that we could be refunded and some of the horror stories were if one of the trees we plant falls over and we send All Pro out there to stand it up FEMA won't pay us to do that. If you cut it up into pieces FEMA will pay us for that tree to be replanted and cut up the old one. I would like to encourage Jim let's go ahead and have the FEMA guy come in and do what he needs to do because it seemed like a great program to be prepared and have a plan. As long as you have a plan you can implement the plan and it should be reimbursable by FEMA. Out of 576 CDDs in Florida 130 of them are in distress so we are in good shape. I appreciate our professionals' work.

Ms. Zerkle of 4236 Grove Park Drive is concerned about alligators in Central Park Lake and she would like a sign. She is greatly concerned that a dog is going to be eaten by an alligator. I spoke with Joe before the meeting and as part of Robert's presentation I personally believe that alligators do not belong in Central Park Lake and whatever method we have to use to keep it that way I think that should be our direction to our people to try to pursue that. There are too many kids, too many dogs, too many people. My belief is at some point we are going to have too many alligators in Central Park Lake. I don't know when that would be or if it will be

but where is the magic number? Is it one, five, how big do they have to be before they are concerned? Where do you draw the line? I am comfortable with saying that alligators don't belong in Central Park with children and dogs and all this other stuff. There has not been one it has migrated there. I think that is something we need to think about.

Mr. Brooks asked do you want to ask staff to come back with something for our next meeting as to what we can and can't do with the alligator?

Mr. Berlin stated alligators can be taken by license only. There are plenty of people that take them without a license but if you were to go out there and shoot him and drag him out of the pond FWC would slap the cuffs on you.

Mr. Ramba stated but they are not an endangered or protected species.

Mr. Berlin stated they were at one time but they are protected from the standpoint that you can't hunt them without a license.

Mr. Brown stated you can apply to FWC for a nuisance gator and if it meets their qualifications for a nuisance gator then one of their licensed folks will come out.

Mr. Brooks asked what do you want us to do?

Mr. Toothman stated I don't know if you put up a sign and everybody is happy.

Mr. Brooks asked how is the sign going to help?

Mr. Toothman stated for years there was no alligator in Central Park lake and now there is.

Mr. Ramba stated let's think about it for a month and come back.

Mr. Brooks stated I look at the fact that we live in Florida and these lakes all have them. I understand your concern and maybe signage is the way to address it as opposed to trying to remove the alligator, just make people aware that there is an alligator in the lake. Let's ask staff to take a look at it and come back with some suggestions.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Audience Comments

There not being any, the next item followed.

ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

**Next Scheduled Meeting – August 11, 2011 at
6:30 p.m. at the Southwood Community
Center**

Mr. Brooks stated the next meeting is August 11, 2011 at 6:30 p.m. at the Southwood Community Center.

On MOTION by Mr. Toothman seconded by Mr. Ramba with all in favor the meeting adjourned at 8:14 p.m.


Secretary/Assistant Secretary


Chairman/Vice Chairman